



Division Bench (Civil)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

..

M.P. No. 2033/1991

..

Sushil Kumar Dean, aged about 55 years, son of Shri Prabhu Dean, P.N. 54240, Sr.Medical Technologist, Medical Deptt., (Radiology), Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai, Distt- Durg (MP) ..Petitioner

Versus

1- The Managing Director, Steel Authority of India Ltd., Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai Distt. Durg (M.P)

2- The Director of Medical and Health Services, Steel Authority of India Ltd., Bhilai Main Hospital, Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai, Distt. Durg (MP)

3- Smt. P.Kumar, Dy.N.S.(P.N. 54120), Medical Department, B.S.P., Bhilai Distt- Durg, M.P.

4- Smt. V.Shrivastava, Dy.N.S.P.No.54121 Medical Deptt., BSP, Bhilai, Distt Durg.

5- Smt. M.Charan, Med.Tech.(Speech), P.No.56173, Medical Deptt., B.S.P., Bhilai Distt. Durg (M.P)

6- Shri S.C.Gupta, Sr. Medi.Tech.(Opt) Bhilai Steel Blant, Medical Deptt., Bhilai, M.P.

7- Shri D.K.Chakervarty, Sr. Med. Tech.(E.C.G.), P.N.55475, Medical Deptt, Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai Distt- Durg.

8- Shri P.G. Nair, M.S.W., P.N.55940 Medical Deptt, Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai, distt - Durg, M.P. ..Respondents

..

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR APPROPRIATE WRITS IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS ETC AND FOR APPROPRIATE FURTHER DIRECTIONS.

..

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.2033/91 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	03-12-2005 None for the petitioner. Shri Anoop Mazumdar, counsel for the respondent No. 1. Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No. 2. None for the others. The matter was taken up for hearing earlier i.e on 23-06-2005, none appeared for the petitioner and S.P.C. was directed to be issued to the petitioner and respondents No. 3 to 8 returnable within four weeks On 16-08-2005, the matter was taken up for hearing, none appeared for the parties. The matter was called for hearing twice. On both occasions, neither any counsel appeared for the petitioner nor any representation was made. In the circumstances, the petition is dismissed for want of prosecution. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Tumane

[पीछे देखिये